Town of Cornelius Architectural Review Board

## Agenda

June 28, 2017 12:00 PM
Town Hall Room 204

1. Call To Order
2. Determination of Quorum
3. Approval of Minutes
A. May 26,2017
4. Review And Recommendation On Agenda Items
A. 21030 Catawba Avenue
5. Old Business
A. MAV 02-17: Zaika Group LLC
6. New Business
7. Next Meeting
8. Adjournment

## REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION

可 Print

Date of Meeting: June 28, 2017

To: Chairman and ARB Members
From: Summer Smigelski, Planning Admin.
Action Requested:
Approval of Minutes
Manager's Recommendation:
Approval

## ATTACHMENTS:

| Name: | Description: | Type: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D ARB Minutes 05-26-2017- <br> Draft.docx | May 26, 2017 Minutes | Backup Material |

# Minutes <br> TOWN OF CORNELIUS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD MEETING 

May 26, 2017
12:00 pm

Members Present
Joe Harris, Vice Chair
Rick Kamakaris
Recah Harward
VISITORS
See Sign-In Sheet

Members Absent
Teresa Hawkins
David Eve, Chairman

## Staff Present

Wayne Herron, Planning Director Jason Pauling, Senior Planner Summer Smigelski, Planning Admin.

## DETERMINATION OF QUORUM

Vice Chairman Harris called the meeting to order. The meeting of the Architectural Review Board began at 12:03 p.m. He stated that a quorum was present for all items.

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Ms. Harward made a motion to approve the minutes from April 28, 2017. Ms. Harward second. All in favor and motion approved.

In Favor: Mr. Kamakaris
Opposed: None
Ms. Harward, Mr. Harris

## REVIEW \& RECOMMENDATION ON AGENDA ITEMS

## MAV 02-17 Quantum Technology Group

Mr. Pauling presented MAV 02-17. This is as 19725 Jetton Rd., on the corner of Jetton and Bethel Church. The property is zoned village center; this is a part of a subdivision approved in 1999. Land Use Plan also designates as village center. Parking does exist but they will need to do some improvements to that, the property does slope down towards Jetton. The applicants are requesting the following architectural variations from Chapter 4 of the Land Development Code: The standard Section 4.6.3(B)(3): A variation from the $70 \%$ window and door coverage requirement to allow for $49.8 \%$ and that is on the Jetton elevations. Section 4.6.3(B)(4): A variation from the requirement requiring penetrations no greater than sixteen (16) feet on the street façade.


I'm told this is a little outdated already. Generally speaking, you have stone with spandrel glass accents. Three story, 10,300 square feet, office space. The building height is about forty feet total from Jetton. There will be one to two HVAC systems on the roof; the bottom two floors will have a split system with condensers on the left side.

Mr. Harris: "What is the material at the spandrel there?"
Mr. Wilbur: "We do not know yet, we have debated that. We discussed doing an opaque glass or spandrel panel. Does the board have a preference?"

Mr. Harris: "How are you going to get the curve?"
Mr. Wilbur: "Right now it looks like it's going to be segmented instead of a true curve."
Mr. Harris: "If that spandrel is segmented it will have a different look than what you have there."
Mr. Wilbur: "The problem with having curve glass is the cost."
Mr. Harris: "Can the glass on the Bethel road side be like the front. Is there a reason that glass cannot go across? Can we not take it from column to column, Just to give it that fronts elevation look rather than the side?"

Mr. Wilbur: "We can."
Ms. Harward: "I think side to side will look better."
The board feels the applicant for MAV 02-17 Quantum Technology Group is moving in the right direction but needs to address the corner.

## Hirschfeld Marketing Solutions

This is in the Industrial Campus zoning district. The Land use plan also so Industrial Campus. You have two setbacks on an industrial campus, you have a 70 foot building setback and a 30 foot parking setback with a berm and screening in the front of the parking. This is a two story office building with 12,000 square feet, then a 12,000 square foot warehouse in the back. The original submittal had a 40 foot roof line. The max height in industrial campus is 36 , so they have adjusted this to bring it down to 36 .



Mr. Kamakaris: "I think it looks good, I do not have a problem with it at all."
Mr. Harris: "I think it's terrific, very well done. Is all of this going to be done at one time?"
Applicant: "That's the intention."
Ms. Harward made a motion to approve the direction. Mr. Kamakaris second. All in favor and motion approved.

In Favor: Mr. Kamakaris
Opposed: None
Ms. Harward, Mr. Harris

## REZ 01-17 Mama's Pizza

Mr. Pauling presented the revised plan for Mama's Pizza. The goal is to keep the original building open while the new building is under construction. There were comments about the dumpster location, the screen wall and the need to better address Hickory St. The updated plan pushes the building up towards Hickory more and moves the dumpster to the back. The public art concept was discussed; in some of the elevations they are leaving space for potential public art. There are details in the windows and they have addressed the stone base.

Ms. Harward: "I really like it; I think they have improved a lot since last time."
Mr. Herron: "The only thing I would add is, on the Tryon St. side there may not be an opportunity for real windows but is there something else that can be done?"

Mr. Harris: "That was going to be my comment. This really shouldn't face the residential neighborhood. A couple things that you might do is extent that element across the top and maybe the windows can be façade windows that are not glass, just something to articulate that façade so that it doesn't look like a rear. We would not give it a recommendation with the rear facing a neighborhood looking like that. I think it addressed the comments and looks good but
there are some details to work out. I assume we agreed we wouldn't put equipment on that low roof there, which will be back behind the parapet?"

Applicant: "Yes!"
Ms. Harward made a motion to approve the direction. Mr. Kamakaris second. All in favor and motion approved.

In Favor: Mr. Kamakaris Opposed: None

Ms. Harward, Mr. Harris

## Industrial Design Standards

Mr. Herron presented the Industrial Design Standards to the board and asked them what their input is. The existing standards are:

- 4.5 Elements of Architectural Compatibility
- Setback from property line
- Proportion: Size of facades similar in area and height to width ratios
- Exterior materials: similar materials and treatment to add detail and monumentality of a building
- Building scale: similar architectural styles to create building harmony
- 4.6 Architectural Standards
- Adjacent buildings shall be architecturally compatible through similar silhouettes, spacing between facades, setbacks, proportions, treatments, exterior materials, scale, massing and/or architectural style
- New construction shall conform in street orientation, massing, lot width and setbacks to adjacent existing and proposed structures
- Roof mounted mechanical equipment shall be screened from off-site view by a parapet wall
- Loading and service delivery areas shall be located to the rear or side yard away from the primary street frontage
- 4.6.4 Light and Heavy Industrial Buildings
A. Materials:

1. All building walls visible from a public street shall be brick, cast concrete, stucco, stone, marble, decorative concrete masonry unit or other materials similar in appearance and durability. All accessory buildings shall be clad in materials similar in appearance to the principal structure.
2. Pitched roofs shall be clad in wood shingles, standing seam metal, corrugated metal, slate, diamond tab asphalt shingles or similar material.
3. Flat roofs shall incorporate parapet walls to conceal the flat portions of the roof on the front and side elevations that are visible from any public street. When used on the side elevation, parapets shall be terraced.
B. Configurations:
4. Two wall materials may be combined horizontally on one facade. The heavier material should be below.
5. Skylights shall be flat (non-bubble).
C. Techniques
6. Stucco shall be float finish.
7. Windows shall be set to the inside of the building face wall.

- 5.5.5 Table of Dimensional Requirements for IC
A. Lot size Minimum: 1 acre
B. Height minimum: No minimum
C. Height maximum: 36 -feet ( 3 stories) - can go higher, up to 6 with CZ request
D. Front building setback: 70-feet
E. Front parking setback: 30-feet
F. Minimum district size: 10 acres
G. District buffer: 80-feet
H. Residential buffer: 100-feet

Mr. Herron: "Some of the concerns are if we need a minimum building height and what else can be done to retain value."

Mr. Kamakaris: "My concern would be if we were to set a minimum height and someone wants to build a one story, they will build a one story what they will do is put a façade on it and a parapet that takes it up to 26 feet. They are not going to put a second story on it."

After discussion the board agreed the lot size would have more of an effect than a minimum height.

## ADJOURNMENT

The meeting ended at 12:50 p.m.

## REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION

可 Print

$$
\text { Date of Meeting: } \quad \text { June 28, } 2017
$$

To:
From:

## Architectural Review Board Members

Jason T. Pauling, AICP - Senior Planner

## Action Requested:

A request by Paul Clark of PBC Architects to build a new 2-story 4,062 square foot building and 866 square foot detached accessory building/garage at 21030 Catawba Avenue. The existing residence was demolished, and the new building will contain office/business use, but will be constructed to have a residential appearance according to TR-O requirements.

## Manager's Recommendation:

Review and Provide Direction

## ATTACHMENTS:

| Name: | Description: | Type: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21030_Catawba_Ave_Zoning.jpg | Zoning Map | Backup Material |
| $\square$ 21030_Catawba_Ave_LU.jpg | Land Use Map | Backup Material |
| $D$ | Aerial Vicinity Map | Backup Material |
| 21030 Catawba_Ave_Vicinity.JPG | Backup Material |  |
| 21030 Catawba_Ave_Property.JPG | Aerial Property Map | Backup Material |
| $\square$ Picture_Page.docx | Photo comparison page | Backup Material |
| $\square$ 21030.DRAWINGS.pdf | Site Plan, Floor Plan, Elevations \& Renderings |  |

## 21030 Catawba Ave.



21030 Catawba Ave.






(9) East eveaton





(2) $\frac{\text { BACKSOUTH ELEVATION }}{1 / 8=1 \% 0^{\prime}}$

## 21030 CATAWBA AVENUE <br> PROPOSED NEW 2-STORY MERCANTILE BUILDING



(2) $\frac{\text { A2SECOND FLOOR }}{1 / 88^{\prime}=1-1.0^{\prime}}$






(4) $\frac{\text { East tlevation }}{1 / 8^{\circ}=1-1.0^{\prime}}$

(1) $\frac{\text { FRONTNNORTH ELEVATION }}{1 / 8^{n}=1.1-0 .}$

(3) $\frac{\text { WEST ELLVVATON }}{1 / 8^{4}}=1-0^{1-0}$

(5) $\frac{\text { GARAGE- NORTH }}{1 / 8^{\prime \prime}=1-0^{\prime \prime}}$
(6) $\frac{\text { GARAGE-EAST }}{1 / 8^{=}=1-0 .}$

(8) $\frac{\text { GARAGE-SOUTH }}{1 / 8^{\circ}=1-0^{\prime \prime}}$



## REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION

回 Print

$$
\text { Date of Meeting: June 28, } 2017
$$

To:
Architectural Review Board Members
From:
Jason T. Pauling, AICP - Senior Planner

## Action Requested:

A request by Charles Wilber and the Zaika Group to develop a three-story commercial/office building of just over 12,000 square feet at the northwest intersection of Jetton Road Extension and Bethel Church Road (19725 Jetton Road; PID 00512302). The applicant(s) are asking for the following architectural variations for this request: 1) Reduction in the percentage of windows and doors on the Bethel Church Road elevation to $49.8 \%$. 2) Variation of the requirement for window or door piercings within 16 -feet on the Bethel Church elevation. All other requirements of chapter 4 are being met. This was presented to the board in May whereas the board asked that it come back with requested changes, including most importantly, addressing the corner elevation along Jetton Road Ext. and Bethel Church Road.

## Manager's Recommendation:

Review and Provide Direction

ATTACHMENTS:

| Name: | Description: | Type: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D major_architectural_variation.pdf | MAV Request Letter | Backup Material |
| [ Quantum_Tech_Zoning.jpg | Zoning Map | Backup Material |
| D Quantum Tech LU.jpg | Land Use Map | Backup Material |
| D Quantum Tech Vicinity.jpg | Vicinity Map | Backup Material |
| [ Quantum Tech Property.jpg | Property Map | Backup Material |
| [ Photo Page.pdf | Photo Page | Backup Material |
| D C4-Grd-Erosion.pdf | Site Plan | Backup Material |
| [ jetton elevation.pdf | Jetton Elevation | Backup Material |
| [ Bethel Church Elevation.jpg | Bethel Church Elevation | Backup Material |
| [ parking_elevation.pdf | Parking Elevation | Backup Material |
| [ level 1.pdf | Level 1 Floor Plan | Backup Material |
| [ level 2.pdf | Level 2 Floor Plan | Backup Material |
| [ level 3.pdf | Level 3 Floor Plan | Backup Material |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { D OTB RENDERINGS 6-21- } \\ & \text { 17.pdf } \end{aligned}$ | Color Renderings Package | Backup Material |

We are requesting variation for Section 4.6.3(B)(3) due to the fact that we will not be having $70 \%$ windows on the street frontage of either street. Our storefront/window area will be $62 \%$ on Jetton Road side and $44.6 \%$ on the Bethel Church Road side.

Under the General Principles 4.6.1, we will be in compliance with the 10 items listed. Our scale and massing are relative to the adjacent properties, and other existing properties in that vicinity. We are in compliance with the street orientation, lot width and setbacks. As for the architectural style, our proposed building is compatible with, (but not matching) the style of others in that area

MAV 02-17: Quantum Technology Group


MAV 02-17: Quantum Technology Group


MAV 02-17: Quantum Technology Group


MAV 02-17: Quantum Technology Group
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